

**To:** Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]; McWhirter, Lisa[McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov]  
**From:** Shari Ring  
**Sent:** Thur 8/4/2016 10:10:11 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Round Mountain AE Addendum

We can focus on the list of questions instead of the checklist. I think either approach means looking at the same stuff. But the comments list is shorter and should be easier.

We'll get through Tejon and let you know next week when we can send RM.

And we're not holding our breath waiting for AG.

Shari Ring

On Thu, Aug 4, 2016 at 5:37 PM -0400, "Dermer, Michele" <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov> wrote:

Yes, please finish Tejon first. Who knows if Ag will come, they said the same thing for the last few weeks. When you finish Tejon, yes, please go onto Round Mountain. I don't want a revised checklist that seems like a lot of extra not helpful work. We need to focus on the comments we made and if they were addressed. And for Round Mountain if there were substantive technical changes to the package, those need to be reviewed. I cannot open the thing on my computer I am trying to look at what they've sent.

For AG we need to get a memo that goes through each item we commented on and see what they responded – I don't envision a revised checklist. Let's see what they send first.

**From:** Shari Ring [mailto:Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 04, 2016 12:40 PM  
**To:** McWhirter, Lisa <McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>; Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Round Mountain AE Addendum

We can limit the review to 5 hours – or let you know if it looks like something more involved. Of course, in the time it took to write this, we got word that a letter on AG is coming tomorrow, which I assume will require a similar process.

We may want to discuss with the state whether they envision multiple back and forth on each, If so, we may need to think of the resource implications....I see the biggest issue as needing to get our heads back into these as they come with big time gaps in the middle. (It's all do-able, but it takes a little time.)

I think we should probably concentrate on Tejon for now (which is due Monday), then look at these 2 letters. Is that ok?

PS. A revised Fruitvale checklist that addresses Michele's comments is coming in a few minutes.

Shari Ring

The Cadmus Group, Inc.

703.247.6159

**From:** McWhirter, Lisa [<mailto:McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 04, 2016 3:28 PM  
**To:** Shari Ring <[Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com](mailto:Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com)>; Dermer, Michele <[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov](mailto:Dermer.Michele@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Round Mountain AE Addendum

The changes do not look like much, but Shari, you are the expert. If you think you can do it in 5 hours or less, please go ahead. If you think you will need more than 5, then let us know. If this package changes again after the 2<sup>nd</sup> comment period, then we will be spending more time and doing another revision, and not sure I included multiple versions in the deliverables.

Thanks,

Lisa

Lisa M. McWhirter, M.S., J.D.

Environmental Protection Specialist  
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
MC 4606M  
Washington, DC 20460  
Office Phone: 202-564-2317

**From:** Shari Ring [<mailto:Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 04, 2016 3:21 PM  
**To:** Dermer, Michele <[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov](mailto:Dermer.Michele@epa.gov)>; McWhirter, Lisa  
<[McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov](mailto:McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Round Mountain AE Addendum

Without having looked at what they sent, it seems to make sense to annotate the checklist or the list of comments/questions and indicate whether/how the concerns are met, or if anything calls the basis of the aquifer exemption into question.

We can call it a "revised checklist." Of course, doing several of these per package will get out of hand and use resources.

Shari Ring  
The Cadmus Group, Inc.  
703.247.6159

**From:** Dermer, Michele [<mailto:Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 04, 2016 3:07 PM

**To:** McWhirter, Lisa <McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>; Shari Ring  
<Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com>

**Subject:** FW: Round Mountain AE Addendum

We would like to have Cadmus review this revision to make sure what we commented on as far as the first version has been incorporated. And then, additionally, they have compiled new information in response to public comments on the draft version of the package that went out for public comment, and we need this new information reviewed. I am not clear on the deliverable for this review however, and where it fits in the OSW. We need to think this through and see if Cadmus has any ideas on how to best provide a review of this updated package. Thoughts?

**From:** Kimber, Jeff@DOC [mailto:Jeff.Kimber@conservation.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, August 04, 2016 11:57 AM

**To:** Dermer, Michele <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>; Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com

**Cc:** Harris, Ken@DOC <Ken.Harris@conservation.ca.gov>; Walker, Alan@DOC

<Alan.Walker@conservation.ca.gov>; Okoroike, Simeon@DOC

<Simeon.Okoroike@conservation.ca.gov>; Salera, Jerry@DOC

<Jerry.Salera@conservation.ca.gov>; Drysdale, Donald@DOC

<Donald.L.Drysdale@conservation.ca.gov>; Bartling, Bill@DOC

<Bill.Bartling@conservation.ca.gov>; Chamberlain, Janel@DOC

<Janel.Chamberlain@conservation.ca.gov>; Bishop, Jonathan@Waterboards

<Jonathan.Bishop@waterboards.ca.gov>; Borkovich, John@Waterboards

<John.Borkovich@waterboards.ca.gov>; Morita, Eric@Waterboards

<Eric.Morita@waterboards.ca.gov>; Zinky, Janice@Waterboards

<Janice.Zinky@waterboards.ca.gov>

**Subject:** Round Mountain AE Addendum

Michelle and Shari,

The link below provides the July 21, 2016 Addendum to the Round Mountain Aquifer Exemption. Also included is the correspondence between the Division of Oil Gas and Geothermal Resources and the State and Central Valley Regional Water Boards regarding the addendum.

[https://www.dropbox.com/sh/s1ab7qb8cvs3d74/AAA5GTms0eNMCACgSbmFL\\_Bca?dl=0](https://www.dropbox.com/sh/s1ab7qb8cvs3d74/AAA5GTms0eNMCACgSbmFL_Bca?dl=0)

Best Regards,

**Jeff D. Kimber**

Engineering Geologist

Department of Conservation

Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources -Inland District

4800 Stockdale Hwy

Bakersfield, CA 93309

(661) 334-3652 (office)

(661) 201- 8701 (Cell)